Influence of inhomogeneous radiosensitivity distributions and intrafractional organ movement on the tumour control probability of focused IMRT in prostate cancer



Department of Radiation Oncology

B. Thomann^{1,2,3}, I. Sachpazidis^{1,2,3}, K. Koubar^{1,2,3}, C. Zamboglou^{2,3,4}, P. Mavroidis⁵, R. Wiehle^{1,2,3}, A.-L. Grosu^{2,3,4}, D. Baltas^{1,2,3}

1: University Medical Center Freiburg, Germany, Department of Radiation Oncology, Division of Medical Physics. 2: University of Freiburg, Germany, Faculty of Medicine. 3: German Cancer Consortium (DKTK) Partner Site Freiburg, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ). 4: University Medical Center Freiburg, Germany, Department of Radiation Oncology. 5: University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, USA, Department of Radiation Oncology.

Email: benedikt.thomann@uniklinik-freiburg.de

Fig. 1 Mean TCP results of all 13 cases with baseline radiosensitivity after individual normalization to 100% TCP (without movement).

Purpose

evaluate the influence of inhomogeneous radiosensitivity То distributions and intrafractional organ movement on the tumour control probability (TCP) for prostate IMRT with simultaneous integrated boosts (SIBs) to PSMA-PET/CT-delineated target volumes and to assess the robustness of such treatment plans.

Materials and Methods



Adjust α and α/β of the chosen voxels to decrease radiosensitivity (by 1% - 30% in 1%-steps) regarding S_{2GV}



Fig. 2 Influence of intrafractional movement on the TCP (from Fig. 1).



Calculate TCP with and without intrafractional movement for all combinations of proportions and radiosensitivity levels (as the mean result of 10⁵ iterations)

Case Description¹⁻⁴

13 patients with PSMA PET/CT prior to prostatectomy.

Dosimetry Protocol (FLAME trial)⁵

77 Gy to the whole prostate, up to 95 Gy to PTV-PET in a SIB, 2.2 Gy per fraction, 35 fractions.

TCP Calculation³

Linear quadratic (LQ) model with Poisson distribution.

Radiosensitivity

Baseline level is defined in a calibration procedure for the LQ model parameters α and α/β , so that the mean TCP over all cases is 70% for a uniform dose of 77 Gy ($\alpha = 0.1335$ Gy⁻¹, $\alpha/\beta =$ 1.93 Gy, $\rho = 2.8 \cdot 10^8$ cells/cm³). Reductions of this radiosensitivity level are simulated by increasing the cell survival probability at a 2 Gy fraction (S_{2Gv}) by adjusting α and α/β for randomly chosen proportions of voxels within GTV-histo.

Tab. 1 Representative parameters and results for the 13 cases.

Case	Volume	Volume	Sensitivity	TCP	ТСР	ТСР
	GTV-histo	PTV-SIB	of PET	Baseline	abs. effect** of	rel. effect** of
	(cm³)	(cm³)	imaging		movement	radiosensitivity*
1	22.2	37.8	82%	70%	+7%	-100%
2	17.5	43.1	94%	100%	-	-86%
3	13.7	15.7	69%	79%	+8%	-100%
4	5.8	30.6	91%	100%	-	-81%
5	5.5	26.0	100%	100%	-	-59%
6	4.5	19.6	83%	100%	-	-55%
7	2.2	24.9	84%	100%	-	-21%
8	1.8	8.5	73%	98%	-	-83%
9	1.6	8.6	100%	100%	-	-23%
10	1.5	16.8	94%	100%	-	-20%
11	1.1	13.6	90%	100%	-	-29%
12	0.4	21.9	100%	100%	-	-5%
13	0.3	20.5	100%	100%	-	-5%
Mean	6.0	22.1	89%	96%	+1.2%	-51%

Intrafractional Movement

Implementation by asymmetrical 3D Gaussian Filtering of the dose matrix, simulating prostate movement up to 3 mm for the anterior-posterior and cranial-caudal directions and up to 1 mm for the left-right direction.

* radiosensitivity exemplarily chosen: 15% decrease for 10% voxels. ** effects given with regard to the baseline TCP.

Results

- There is a sudden breakdown of TCP values within a small range of radiosensitivity reduction levels (Fig. 1).
- Even low decreases (15%) of the radiosensitivity for few tumour voxels (10%) may result in a significant TCP reduction (Tab. 1).
- Intrafractional movement in average only has a minor effect on the TCP and can even increase the TCP for medium radiosensitivity levels if the tumour volume is not entirely covered by the PET-delineated SIB volume (Fig. 2, Tab. 1). In these cases, the tumour voxels receiving D_{min} outside of the SIB do not really suffer regarding TCP when moving even further away, due to the dose plateau surrounding the SIB. Moving towards or even into the SIB, however, results in a significant dose increase, increasing also the TCP.
- Mismatches between tumour and SIB volume significantly decrease the TCP (Tab. 1), especially in tumours with low radiosensitivities. When boosting imaging-defined subvolumes, maximizing the imaging sensitivity (tumour coverage by SIB volume) is essential for a robust treatment. This could be achieved by a combined use of PET and multiparametric MRI to identify the tumour volume.

^{1.} Thomann, B., et al., Influence of inhomogenous radiosensitivity distributions and intrafractional organ movement on the tumour control probability of focused IMRT in prostate cancer. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2018, in press: doi.org/10.1016/j.radonc.2018.02.006 2. Zamboglou, C., et al., Comparison of (68)Ga-HBED-CC PSMA-PET/CT and multiparametric MRI for gross tumour volume detection in patients with primary prostate cancer based on slice by slice comparison with histopathology. Theranostics, 2017. 7(1): p. 228-237. 3. Zamboglou, C., et al., Evaluation of intensity modulated radiation therapy dose painting for localized prostate cancer using (68)Ga-HBED-CC PSMA-PET/CT: A planning study based on histopathology reference. Radiotherapy and Oncology, 2017. 123(3): p. 472-477. 4. Zamboglou, C., et al., (68)Ga-HBED-CC-PSMA PET/CT Versus Histopathology in Primary Localized Prostate Cancer: A Voxel-Wise Comparison. Theranostics, 2016. 6(10): p. 1619-1628.

^{5.} Lips, I.M., et al., Single blind randomized Phase III trial to investigate the benefit of a focal lesion ablative microboost in prostate cancer (FLAME-trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials, 2011. 12: p. 255-255.