Demographic information on our study subjects and change of outcome variables

Forty five were enrolled but one patient withdrew consent after completing the study. This subject is excluded from all data. Two subjects dropped out before completion but their demographic data is included.

To obtain statistics on an "Intention to treat" basis, their after treatment scores on the GIS and QOLRAD scales were deemed to be the same as their scores before. Per protocol data for the 42 subjects who completed the study are also included in the table.

	N	Mean	SD	95% Cl	Min	Max	Median
--	---	------	----	-----------	-----	-----	--------

Age	44	63.5	11.2	3.4	45	83	64.5
Sex M/F	44	9/35					
Height (cm)	44	167.5	8.7	2.6	150.0	186.0	166.5
Weight (kg)	44	69.0	12.4	3.8	40.0	96.0	74.0
Dur chron sympt (mths)	44	151.2	133.4	40.6	3.0	480.0	120.0
Dur ac sympt (mths)	43	25.4	30.8	9.5	0.5	108.0	12.0
		Inter	ntion to	Treat			
GIS score before	44	11.5	5.5	1.6	2	25	11.0
GIS score day 7	44	6.7	5.7	1.7	0	22	5.5
% change in GIS score	44	41.9	40.8	12.4	-85.7	100	51.5
QOLRAD score before	44	61.1	27.1	8.4	0	119	64.5
QOLRAD score day 7	44	32.9	30.0	9.4	0	103	19.5
% change in QOLRAD score	44	50.7	36.1	11.0	-6.1	100	54.6
		Pe	er Proto	ocol			
GIS score before	42	11.7	5.1	1.6	2	25	11
GIS score day 7	42	6.5	5.3	1.7	0	21	5.5
% change in GIS score	42	44.0	40.7	12.7	-85.7	100	53.4

QOLRAD score before	42	63.0	26.3	8.2	15	119	64.5
QOLRAD score day 7	42	32.3	30.1	9.4	0	103	19.5
% change in QOLRAD score	42	53.1	35.1	10.9	-6.1	100	56.2

Crosstabulation of frequencies of tolerability, efficacy and taste of the potato juice

Tolerabi							
lity	V Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Accept able	Poor	Total	
V Good	11 (26%)	5 (11%)	0	0	0	16 (36%)	
Good	0	13 (30%)	3 (7%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%)	19 (43%)	
Satisfac tory.	0	4 (9%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	0	6(14%)	
Accepta ble	0	0	0	0	1 (2%)	3 (5%)	
Poor	0	0	0	0	2 (5%)	2 (5%)	
Total	11 (26%)	22 (50%)	4 (9%)	3 (7%)	4 (9%)	44 (100%)	

Efficacy	V Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Accept able	Poor	Total

V Good	6 (14%)	3 (7%)	0	0	0	9 (20%)
Good	2 (5%)	12 (27%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%)	0	17 (39%)
Satisfac tory.	1 (2%)	4 (9%)	1 (2%)	0	0	6 (14%)
Accepta ble	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	0	0	0	2 (5%)
Poor	1 (2%)	2 (5%)	1 (2%)	2 (5%)	4 (9%)	10(23%)
Total	11 (25%)	22 (50%)	4 (9%)	3 (7%)	4 (9%)	44 (100%)

Efficacy	V Good	Good	Satisfact ory	Accept able	Poor	Total
V Good	8 (18%)	1 (2%)	0	0	0	9 (20%)
Good	5 (11%)	12 (27%)	0	0	0	17 (39%)
Satisfac tory.	1 (2%)	2 (5%)	3 (7%)	0	0	6 (14%)
Accepta ble	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	0	0	0	2 (5%)
Poor	1 (2%)	3 (7%)	3 (7%)	1 (2%)	2 (5%)	10(23%)
Total	16 (36%)	19 (43%)	6 (14%)	1 (2%)	2 (5%)	44 (100%)