# Screening for synthetic cannabinoids in urine by immunoassay versus LC-MS/MS an evaluation of the diagnostic efficiency

# Florian Franz<sup>1</sup>, Harald Ertl<sup>2</sup>, Verena Angerer<sup>1</sup>, Volker Auwärter<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Forensic Medicine, Forensic Toxicology, Medical Center – University of Freiburg, Germany <sup>2</sup>Labor Lademannbogen, Drug Monitoring and Toxicology, Hamburg, Germany



**Institute of Forensic Medicine** Forensic Toxicology



#### Introduction and Aims

Synthetic cannabinoids (SC) have become an important family of designer drugs and are widely used in Europe. Therefore, the demand for reliable screening methods is constantly increasing. Different immunoassays (IA) targeting SC metabolites are available for cost-efficient analysis. However, due to the structural diversity of this class of substances and the highly dynamic changes on the drug market it seems questionable if the applied antibodies show sufficient cross reactivity for all relevant substructures. Hence, two commercially available IA kits for urine analysis were evaluated regarding their suitability for detecting the use of currently prevalent substances.

## Methods

Liquid chromatography conditions:

- Luna<sup>®</sup> C18(2) column (150 mm  $\times$  2 mm, 5  $\mu$ m)
- Solvent A: H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2 % HCOOH, 2 mmol/L NH<sub>4</sub>+HCOO<sup>-</sup>
- Solvent B: ACN

#### Mass spectrometry conditions:

- SCIEX API 5000<sup>TM</sup> MRM(+) mode
- Metabolites of 45 SC
- At least 2 transitions per metabolite
- Semi-quantitative
- (LLOQ = 0.05 0.1 ng/mL)



#### Immunoassay:

- Roche Cobas Integra<sup>®</sup> 400
- Homogeneous enzyme immunoassay (HEIA<sup>TM</sup>)



## Kits from IMMUNALYSIS Corp. (Pomona, CA, USA)

- Synthetic Cannabinoids-1<sup>®</sup>-kit: Calibrator: JWH-018 N-pentanoic acid (cut-off 10 ng/mL)
- Synthetic Cannabinoids-2<sup>®</sup>-kit: Calibrator: UR-144 N-pentanoic acid (cut-off 10 ng/mL)

## **Results and Discussion**

One hundred negative samples and one hundred samples positive for metabolites of only one SC (LC-MS/MS data) were selected consecutively from a pool of authentic urine samples collected from January to June 2015. The samples were blinded and reanalysed using the two HEIA<sup>TM</sup>.

Sens Spec	itivity: 2 % ificity: 99 %	LC-MS/MS confirmation								
Accu	racy: 51 %	positive	negative							
IA	positive	1 %	0.5 %							
	negative	<b>49 %</b>	49.5 %							

Using the cut-offs as recommended by the manufacturer, the combination of the

Halving the cut-offs led to a sensitivity of 7 % but did not improve the overall diagnostic efficiency. Plotting the IA data as Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve it is evident that the diagnostic efficiency can not be improved by changing the cut-off value.



two IA led to a sensitivity of 2 %, selectivity of 99 % and an accuracy (diagnostic efficiency) of 51 %.



Fig. 1: Consumed SC detected (green background) and not detected (red background) by the two immunoassays.

The samples tested positive by the IA 'Synthetic Cannabinoids-1' were positive for THJ-018 metabolites (LC-MS/MS), which can be explained by the structural similarity of THJ-018 to JWH-018. Samples containing only metabolites of AB-CHMINACA, AB-FUBINACA, ADB-CHMINACA, AM-2201, MDMB-CHMICA or 5F-PB-22 were not detected by both IA.

ROC curves of the evaluated immunoassays Fig. 2: showed an Area Under the Curve (AUC) slightly above 0.5 for both kits.



—Ideal immunoassay (AUC >> 0.5)

-Random distribution (AUC = 0.5)

Example of an ideal immunoassay with high Fig. 3: sensitivity and high specificity (green) as well as a curve of random distribution (red).

The results can be explained by an insufficient cross reactivity of the available antibodies for the 'new generation' synthetic cannabinoids (see also Tab. 1). Another factor could be the relatively low analyte concentrations in urine due to high potency of the drugs combined with an insufficient sensitivity of the immunochemical tests.

	Cor	nclu	usi	on												******
		2012					20	2013			2014			2015	Cross	Tab. 1: Prevalence of
In the light of the structural inhomogeneity of synthetic		Q1	Q2	Q3	<b>Q4</b>	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	<b>Q4</b>	Q1	reactivity <sup>1</sup>	selected substances
	JWH-122						max. 10 % <sup>1</sup>	detected in serum								

cannabinoids the use of immunoassays merits critical attention. It is strongly recommended not to rely on the evaluated IA tests for synthetic cannabinoids, neither in clinical nor in forensic settings. As the antibodies used for immunoassays of other providers probably show similar cross reactivities, analogical results can be expected for other commercially available immunoassay products.

•••••							samnlas	since $2012$
JWH-018						max. 100 % <sup>1</sup>	in the	Institute of
AM-2201						max. 100 % <sup>1</sup>	Forensic	Medicine
MAM-2201						max. 10 % <sup>1</sup>	Freiburg	and their
UR-144						max. 100 % <sup>1</sup>	cross read	ctivity.
XLR-11						max. 50 % <sup>1</sup>	0 %	86 %
5F-PB-22						< 1 % <sup>1</sup>	Percentad	ne of
AB-FUBINACA						no data	positive	samples in
AB-CHMINACA						no data	relation to	all positive
MDMB-CHMICA						no data	samples	determined
ADB-CHMINACA						no data	on a quar	terly basis.

## Acknowledgement



This publication has been produced with the financial support of the 'Prevention' of and Fight against Crime' programme of the European Commission.

(JUST/2013/ISEC/DRUGS/AG/6421)

#### References

- Datasheet of IMMUNALYSIS Corp. [1] 'Synthetic Cannabinoids Homogenous Enzyme Immunoassay (HEIA<sup>™</sup>)'
- Barnes AJ et al., Forensic Sci Int. 2014 241:27-34

#### Contact **Florian Franz** Medical Center – University of Freiburg Institute of Forensic Medicine Albertstraße 9 79104 Freiburg, Germany florian.franz@uniklinik-freiburg.de

