# **Post-Mortem-Drug-Screening in Dental Hard Tissue Samples by LC-QToF MS**

Laura M. Huppertz<sup>1</sup>, Miriam Klima<sup>1</sup>, Miriam C. Kuales<sup>2</sup>, Markus J. Altenburger<sup>2</sup>, Volker Auwärter<sup>1</sup>, Meria A. Neukamm<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Institute of Forensic Medicine, Medical Center - University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Center for Dental Medicine, Department of Operative Dentistry and Periodontology, Medical Center - University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, University of Freiburg, Germany

## INTRODUCTION

When dealing with burnt, severely putrefied or skeletonized bodies, traditional sample materials for toxicological analysis (e.g. blood, tissue, urine) are often unavailable. In these cases dental hard tissue is one of the remaining materials applicable for post-mortem toxicology. Full scan based screening methods using LC-QToF-MS are a valuable tool for forensic analysis of these materials due to the possibility of gual/guant and retrospective data evaluation. In this study the applicability of a screening workflow - initially developed for the detection and identification of xenobiotics in human serum and urine samples<sup>[1]</sup> - to dental hard tissue as an alternative matrix for post-mortem toxicology was evaluated. The findings were confirmed using LC-QqQ-MS methods. Results were compared with those obtained from routine post-mortem toxicological analysis of urine and blood.

### METHODS

In death cases with a known history of drug intake one whole tooth was obtained during the autopsy in addition to the body fluids and tissue samples taken for routine post-mortem toxicology.



The pulp was removed, and the teeth separated into enamel, crown and root dentin, and if present carious dentin.

The dental tissues were powdered using a diamond burr and subsequently extracted three times for 1 h with methanol under ultrasonication.



The extracts off all dental tissue samples were analyzed on an LC-QToF-MS-system to estimate the assay's detection limits in authentic samples. All compounds detected in the screening were subsequently quantified by LC-MS/MS in MRM mode. The results were compared to those of routine toxicological analysis of femoral blood, cardiac blood, urine, stomach contents, and hair to evaluate the performance of the QToF-screening.

One      Analye      Origination interaction of the second of the s											
I      Relation      S      A      B	Case	Analyte	Dental Hard Tissue ToF Screening	Dental Hard Tissue QqQ (conc. [pg/mg])	Routine Post Mortem Findings (blood, urine, hair)	Case	Analyte	Dental Hard Tissue ToF Screening	Dental Hard Tissue QqQ (conc. [pg/mg])	Routine Post Mortem Findings (blood, urine)	
Lub      ·		Methadone	✓	9.0	✓		Fentanyl	✓	1.0	✓	
Istacom      ·      1      Decemp      · </td <td></td> <td>EDDP</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td> <td>1.4</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td> <td></td> <td>Norfentanyl</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td>		EDDP	$\checkmark$	1.4	$\checkmark$		Norfentanyl	×	×	$\checkmark$	
1      Mathemps      -<		Diazepam	$\checkmark$	2.7	$\checkmark$		Diazepam	✓	×	$\checkmark$	
Jampin      ·      1.8      ·      100      ·        Solar      ·     <	1	Nordazepam	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$		Nordazepam	×	×	✓	
indexim      ·     ·      ·      · <td></td> <td>Doxepin</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td> <td>1.8</td> <td>×</td> <td rowspan="2"></td> <td>Lorazepam</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td> <td>180</td> <td>×</td>		Doxepin	$\checkmark$	1.8	×		Lorazepam	$\checkmark$	180	×	
		Lidocaine	$\checkmark$	20	×		Risperidone	×	41	×	
A hotymorphie		Caffeine	✓	×	$\checkmark$	6	9-OH-Risperidone	✓	×	✓	
Marging      ·     ·      ·      · <td></td> <td>6-Acetylmorphine</td> <td>✓</td> <td>~ 200</td> <td>✓</td> <td></td> <td>Citalopram</td> <td>✓</td> <td>47</td> <td><b>v</b></td>		6-Acetylmorphine	✓	~ 200	✓		Citalopram	✓	47	<b>v</b>	
Arrowshine      Manual      Man		Morphine	$\checkmark$	~ 130	$\checkmark$		Melperone	✓	110	✓	
		Normorphine	×	34	$\checkmark$		Haloperidol	V	7.2	<b>v</b>	
Biorgam      · </td <td></td> <td>Codeine</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td> <td>13</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td> <td></td> <td>Acetaminophen</td> <td><b>v</b></td> <td>×</td> <td>~</td>		Codeine	$\checkmark$	13	$\checkmark$		Acetaminophen	<b>v</b>	×	~	
1      Incritiziziani      Incritiziani		Diazepam	$\checkmark$	~ 220	$\checkmark$		Promethazine	✓ (	14	×	
A      Onceptin      -<		Nordazepam	$\checkmark$	10	$\checkmark$		Catterne	v (	*	v	
I      Tensongen      ·      6.1      · <td< td=""><td></td><td>Oxazepam</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td>36</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td></td><td>Lidocaine</td><td>•</td><td>4.1</td><td>*</td></td<>		Oxazepam	$\checkmark$	36	$\checkmark$		Lidocaine	•	4.1	*	
Predictagen      -      -33      -      <		Temazepam	$\checkmark$	6.1	$\checkmark$		6-Acetyimorphine	*	1.6	•	
4		Tetrazepam	✓	33	×		Codeine	~	1.0	×	
2      Reconstruction      -		Promethazine	✓	~ 3000	$\checkmark$		Diazonam	* ./	0.7	•	
Augencybine      · <td< td=""><td>2</td><td>Chlorprotixene</td><td>✓</td><td>5.7</td><td>×</td><td></td><td>Nordozonom</td><td>*</td><td>3.5</td><td>·</td></td<>	2	Chlorprotixene	✓	5.7	×		Nordozonom	*	3.5	·	
Mathemportangeline  Mathematical (Mathematical (Mathemat	-	Buprenorphine	$\checkmark$	~ 130	$\checkmark$		Ovazonam	·	15	*	
9      Precodentical      -      <		Norbuprenorphine	✓	×	×		Tomazonam	· ·	<b>^</b>	·	
Marcagene      -		Phenobarbital	×	×	$\checkmark$	7	тис сооч	· ·	<b>^</b>	*	
Martaraphe  -  -  -  -  -  -    Galience  -  -  -  -  -  -    Galience  -  -  -  -  -  -  -    Marcance  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -    Marcance  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -    Marcance  - <td></td> <td>Doxepin</td> <td>✓</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>×</td> <td>'</td> <td>Neccooring</td> <td>*</td> <td>*</td> <td>×</td>		Doxepin	✓	1.1	×	'	Neccooring	*	*	×	
Golefapine      ·      2.8      ·      <		Mirtazapine	✓	0.3	×		Bapavorino	•	<u>^</u>	•	
Affine  · <t< td=""><td></td><td>Quetiapine</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td>2.8</td><td>×</td><td></td><td>Papaverine</td><td>*</td><td></td><td>•</td></t<>		Quetiapine	$\checkmark$	2.8	×		Papaverine	*		•	
Paperenie      -		Caffeine	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$		Bunsenesshine	• 	220	•	
Macapine  · <		Papaverine	×	×	$\checkmark$		Norhuprenorphine	*	220	<b>^</b>	
Maconine      ·		Noscapine	✓	×	$\checkmark$		Cocaino	•	<b>^</b>	<b>^</b>	
Notothe      ·      ·      / </td <td></td> <td>Meconine</td> <td>×</td> <td>×</td> <td><math>\checkmark</math></td> <td></td> <td>Cocalite</td> <td>· ·</td> <td>1.6</td> <td></td>		Meconine	×	×	$\checkmark$		Cocalite	· ·	1.6		
Angletemine  ·		Nicotine	✓	×	✓		Mothedene	• 	1.0	*	
Bareparin       2.8		Amphetamine	×	×	$\checkmark$		FDD	*	10	·	
Mordatepan   2.8 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Diazepam</td><td>✓</td><td>2.8</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td></td><td>Coccino</td><td>•</td><td><b>^</b></td><td>•</td></td<>		Diazepam	✓	2.8	$\checkmark$		Coccino	•	<b>^</b>	•	
Oxacepam      *		Nordazepam	✓	2.8	$\checkmark$		Cocalite	v .(	~ 0.2	*	
Temazepan      *      10      <		Oxazepam	×	×	$\checkmark$		Diazenam	•	1.5	~	
3      Methadone      ·      1.0      ·      Notoscipum      ·      1.0      ·      ·      1.0      ·      ·      1.0      ·      ·      1.0      ·      ·      1.0      ·      ·      1.0      ·      ·      ·      · <td< td=""><td></td><td>Temazepam</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td>✓</td><td>Nordazenam</td><td>·</td><td>4.5</td><td></td></td<>		Temazepam	×	×	✓		Nordazenam	·	4.5		
EDD      1.3	3	Methadone	✓	10	$\checkmark$		Ovazopam	•	1.4	*	
Diplemydramine      *		EDDP	✓	1.3	$\checkmark$		Temazenam	*	*		
Actaminophen      V      X      V      X      V      X      V      X <t< td=""><td></td><td>Diphenhydramine</td><td>×</td><td>×</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td>Fentanyl</td><td>~</td><td>0.8</td><td>*</td></t<>		Diphenhydramine	×	×	$\checkmark$		Fentanyl	~	0.8	*	
Caffeire      V      X      V      Secondar-price      Secondar-price      V      Secondar-price      V      Secondar-price      Secondar-price      V      Secondar-price      Secondar-price      Secondar-price      V      Secondar-price      Secondar-price      V      Secondar-price      Secondar-price <thsecondar-price< th="">      Secondar-print<td></td><td>Acetaminophen</td><td>✓</td><td>×</td><td>✓</td><td>Carbamazenine</td><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td>47</td><td><b>~</b></td></thsecondar-price<>		Acetaminophen	✓	×	✓		Carbamazenine	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	47	<b>~</b>	
Doregin      ·      0.8      ×      ·		Caffeine	✓	×	$\checkmark$	8	Promethazine	· ·	53		
Methadone      ·      35      ·      inclusion      ·		Doxepin	✓	0.8	×	_	Metamizole-Met	·			
LEDP      ·		Methadone	✓	35	$\checkmark$		Clomethiazole		12		
Augement      ·<		EDDP	✓	×	✓		Valproic Acid	×	12		
Norbujenorphine      *		Buprenorphine	✓	×	$\checkmark$		Dovenin	~	21	*	
Fentanyl      ·      13      ·		Norbuprenorphine	×	×	$\checkmark$		Mirtazanine	· ·	2.1	*	
Nordictanyl  *		Fentanyl	$\checkmark$	13	$\checkmark$		Pregabalin	×	2.J X	~	
Mirtazapine      ·      3.8      ·      <		Norfentanyl	×	×	$\checkmark$		Morphine	<i>√</i>	×	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Pesmethylmirtazapine      ·      4.7      ·		Mirtazapine	$\checkmark$	3.8	$\checkmark$		Chlorprothivene	~	34	×	
Diazepam      ·      20      ·	4	Desmethylmirtazapine	✓	4.7	$\checkmark$		Nicotine	✓	*	~ ~	
Nordazepam      · <th<< td=""><td>4</td><td>Diazepam</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td>20</td><td><math>\checkmark</math></td><td>Acetaminophen</td><td>~</td><td>×</td><td>✓</td></th<<>	4	Diazepam	$\checkmark$	20	$\checkmark$		Acetaminophen	~	×	✓	
Oxazepam      *<		Nordazepam	$\checkmark$	10	$\checkmark$	9	Morphine	√ 	130	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Temazepam      x		Oxazepam	×	×	$\checkmark$		Normornhine	×	10	·	
THC-COOH      x<		Temazepam	×	×	$\checkmark$		Lidocaine	1	26	✓	
Bisoprolol  ·		THC-COOH	×	×	$\checkmark$		Metamizole-Met	~	 V	✓	
NaloxonexxxxxNicotinexxxxDiazepamxxxMDMB-CHMICAx49xDiazepamx0.6xMintazapinex1.2x0lanzapinexxxDesmethylmirtazapinex1.6xxxxThC-COOHxxxxxxCocainexxxxxxCoffeinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxxNicotinexxxxxNicotinexxxxxNicotinexxxxxNicotinexxxxxNicotinexxxxxNicotinexxxxxNicotinexxxxxNicotinexxx<		Bisoprolol	$\checkmark$	×	✓		Haloperidol	×	17	$\checkmark$	
Nicotine  Image: second secon		Naloxone	×	×	$\checkmark$		Lorazepam	×	×	✓	
MDMB-CHMICA  ·  49  ·  9  Nordazepam  ·  1.7  ·    Mirtazapine  ·  1.2  ·  ·  Olanzapine  ×  ×  ·    Desmethylmirtazapine  ·  1.6  ·  ·  Trimipramine  ·  23  ·    ThC-COOH  ×  ×  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·    Cocaine  ·  ×  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·    Coffeine  ·  ×  ·  ·  ·  ·    Nicotine  ·  ×  ·  ·  ·  ·		Nicotine	✓	×	✓		Diazepam	$\checkmark$	0.6	$\checkmark$	
Mirtazapine  ·  1.2  ·  Olanzapine  ·  ·  ·    Desmethylmirtazapine  ·  1.6  ·  ·  Trimipramine  ·  23  ·    5  THC-COOH  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·    Cocaine  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·    Coffeine  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·    Nicotine  ·  ·  ·  ·  ·		MDMB-CHMICA	✓	49	✓		Nordazenam	~	1.7	✓	
Desmethylmitazapine  1.6  Image: Construction of the second		Mirtazapine	✓	1.2	✓		Olanzanine	×	*	$\checkmark$	
5  TH-COOH  *  *  ·  Aripiprazole  ·  69  ·    Cocaine  ·  *  ·  Methylphenidate  *  *  ·    Coffeine  ·  *  ·  Zuclopenthixole  ·  ·  *    Nicotine  ·  *  ·  Chlorprothixene  ·  ·  *		Desmethylmirtazapine	$\checkmark$	1.6	$\checkmark$		Trimipramine	~	23	✓	
Cocaine  Image: Cocaine	5	THC-COOH	×	×	~		Aripiprazole	$\checkmark$	69	$\checkmark$	
Coffeine  Image: second secon		Cocaine	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$		Methylphenidate	×	*	✓	
Nicotine × × ✓ Chlorprothixene ✓ 14 ×		Coffeine	✓	×	✓		Zuclopenthixole	$\checkmark$	✓	×	
		Nicotine	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$		Chlorprothixene	~	14	×	
Prezadaliti Y							Pregabalin	$\checkmark$	×	$\checkmark$	

#### LC Conditions:

LC-System:	Dionex UltiMate 3000 LC-System
Eluent A:	0.01 % HCOOH + 5 mM NH <sub>4</sub> +COO <sup>-</sup> + 10 % MeOH
Eluent B:	MeOH + 5 mM NH <sub>4</sub> +COO <sup>-</sup> + 0.01 % HCOOH
Column:	Acclaim <sup>®</sup> RSLC 120 C18 2,2 μm 120 A 2.1x100 mm
Total flow:	Flow gradient 200 to 480 μL/min
Injection vol.:	2 μL
Gradient	20 min gradient elution

#### **MS Conditions**

Impact II <sup>™</sup> (Bruker)				
ESI, positive mode				
Full Scan MS / bbCID				
50 - 1000 Da				





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This publication has been produced with the financial support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) (GZ: INST 380/92-1 FUGG: and NE 1879/2-1. AL 1665/3-1)

REFERENCES: [1] P. Decker, T. Drury, C. Baessmann, J. Kempf, L.M. Huppertz, V. Auwärter, A. Pelander, M. Sundström I. Ojanpera, Efficacy of Enhanced Confirmation Criteria to Reduce False Positive Detections in Forensic Screening Using LC-QTOF Mass Spectrometry, Application Note LCMS-106, Bruker Daltonik

[2] M. Klima, M. J. Altenburger, J. Kempf, V. Auwärter, M. A. Neukamm, Determination of medicinal and illicit drugs in post mortem dental hard tissues and comparison with analytical results for body fluids and hair samples, Forensic Sci. Int. 265 (2016) 166-171



Institute of Forensic Medicine **Forensic Toxicology** 

# ANALYTICAL FINDINGS

## **RESULTS and DISCUSSION**

Drugs and drugs of abuse found in body fluids, tissue samples, and hair could mostly be detected in dental tissues with the applied HRMS assay. Substances detected by the QToF screening that previously had not been detected during routine post-mortem analysis, were labelled as 'tentative' if the identification criteria (retention time, parent and gualifier ion present, isotope ratio) were fulfilled.

In dental tissue samples, several opioids (6-acetylmorphine, buprenorphine, codeine, EDDP, fentanyl, methadone, morphine, and norfentanyl), cocaine, and its metabolite benzoylecgonine, numerous benzodiazepines (diazepam, lorazepam, nordazepam, oxazepam, temazepam, and tetrazepam), carbamazepine, chlorprothixene, citalopram, desmetylmirtazapine, doxepin, haloperidol, melperon, metamizol metabolites (4-AA, 4-AAA, and 4-FAA), mirtazapine, pregabalin, promethazine, quetiapine, risperidone, trimipramine, zuclopenthixol, and - in one case - the synthetic cannabinoid MDMB-CHMICA were detected.

In all cases included in this study, substances that supposably contributed to death could be reliably identified with this approach. The screening's overall sensitivity seems comparable to targeted MRM methods since the assay was able to detect compounds at concentrations between LOD and LOQ determined for the LC-MS/MS method used<sup>[2]</sup> but in contrast. Full scan MS and broad band CID mode (bbCID) of the QToF also allows for retrospective data analysis.

## **CONCLUSION**

The preliminary results of this comparative study are promising so far. Analysis of dental hard tissue represents a useful alternative matrix for post mortem toxicology, especially if there is no other material available. Based on a study conducted earlier, the incorporation of medical and illicit drugs into dental hard tissue depends on the compound's physicochemical properties and seems to occur mainly via the blood stream.

However, further investigations, especially regarding the significance of quantitative data but also technical details like matrix effects, will be needed to completely implement the assay in routine post-mortem analysis.

CONTACT: Laura M. Huppertz

Institute of Forensic Medicine, Medical Center - University of Freiburg Albertstrasse 9, 79104 Freiburg, Germany laura.huppertz@uniklinik-freiburg.de

For reprint use QR-code